Multiscale Fluid Interactions by Composition of Maps (CoM)

Speaker: Darryl D Holm, Co-authors: R. Hu and O.D. Street Mathematics Department, Imperial College London https://www.imperial.ac.uk/ocean-dynamics-synergy/



Imperial College

1/26

<ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト



SWOT 1st Light 2023-03-24 Gulf Stream topography



Darryl Holm Imperial College

Ingolstadt lecture

Multiscale Fluid Interactions

3 / 26

Imperial College

Multi-scale analysis is required for modern satellite data



Figure: SWOT will observe many different interacting fluid components.

Imperial College

4 / 26

Darryl Holm Imperial College

Oceans have Magnascales, Mesoscales and Sub-mesoscales



Figure: Oceanic Magna-, Meso- and Submeso scales, cf. Dickey & Bidigare [2005]

Darryl Holm Imperial College

<ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Atmosphere Length/Time scale models (Klein ARFM2010)



Darryl Holm Imperial College



(1) Fine-scale 'Truth' (1024²) e.g., from fluid PDE simulations $\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p$, divu = 0.

(2) Coarse-scale avg PDE (64²) e.g. space/time average velocity, $u(x, x, t) := \overline{u(x, x, x, t)}$ This approx introduces uncertainty of closure problems $\overline{u \cdot \nabla u}(x, x, t)$. (3) Coarser-scale simulation (4²) e.g. Large Eddy Simulation (LES) LES introduces more uncertainty due to closure problems.

Imperial College

- Why? Satellite data observes effects of multiscale fluid interactions. Regarding multiscale fluid interactions as CoM is natural, because for smaller scale motions the larger ones are Lagrangian reference frames.
- How? Euler-Poincaré variational principles for CoM provide multicomponent, multiphysics, multiscale, Hamiltonian fluid models. An advantage is that they are very general and coordinate free. A disadvantage is that they require averaging over the smaller scales.
- What? Multiscale CoM models can be applied either by representing expected phenomena, or by projection onto orthonormal modes.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Imperial College

Why CoM?

CoM fluid dynamics describes nested interactions of multiple DoF. The nested physics has a *self-similar* Lie algebraic structure

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \Big(V_1 \oplus \big(\mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes (V_2 \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_3 \otimes V_3) \big) \Big).$$

The nested pattern reveals how to make further extensions of DOF.

- **How does C** \circ M work, mathematically? Larger scales sweep smaller ones by *push-forward* of C \circ M $(g_1g_2)_*$.
- What results arise from the CoM approach? CoM variational principle yields coordinate-free space-time averaged models that possess a physically sensible Kelvin circulation theorem.

What next for CoM?

Still in progress! Disadvantage: averaging limits applicability. Imperial College

9/26

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

GLM defines fluid velocity at displaced oscillating position

Recall that GLM defines the fluid velocity as $\mathbf{u}^{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t) := \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t, t/\epsilon), t)$ at the displaced oscillating position $\mathbf{x}_t + \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_t, t, t/\epsilon)$ where \mathbf{x}_t is evaluated at the current position \mathbf{x} on a Lagrangian mean path



GLM arises from the tangent of composition of two maps

$$\mathbf{X}_t = g_t \mathbf{x}_0 = (Id + \alpha \Xi_t) \circ \overline{g}_t \mathbf{x}_0 =: \mathbf{x}_t + \alpha \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_t, t),$$



$$U_{t}(\mathbf{X}_{t}, t) := \frac{d\mathbf{X}_{t}}{dt} = \dot{g}_{t}g_{t}^{-1}\mathbf{X}_{t} = \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{t}}{dt} + \alpha \left(\partial_{t}\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\xi}}{\partial x_{t}^{j}}\frac{dx_{t}^{j}}{dt}\right)$$
$$= \dot{\bar{g}}_{t}\bar{g}_{t}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{t} + \alpha \left(\partial_{t}\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\xi}}{\partial x_{t}^{j}} \cdot \left(\dot{\bar{g}}_{t}\bar{g}_{t}^{-1}x_{t}^{j}\right)\right)$$
$$=: \mathbf{u}_{L}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) + \alpha \frac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) \text{ Recovers GLM velocity. Inperial College London$$

Darryl Holm Imperial College

Multiscale Fluid Interactions

Example: CoM result for wave-current interaction

In Lie-Poisson bracket form, the wave-current equations studied lately is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{bmatrix} m\\D\\\rho\\J\\N \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{ad}_{\square}^* m \quad \square \diamond D \quad \square \diamond \rho \quad \square \diamond J \quad \square \diamond N\\\mathcal{L}_{\square}^* D \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0\\\mathcal{L}_{\square}^* \rho \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0\\\mathsf{ad}_{\square}^* J \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \mathsf{ad}_{\square}^* J \quad \square \diamond N\\\mathcal{L}_{\square}^* N \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \mathcal{L}_{\square}^* N \quad 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta m\\\delta H/\delta D\\\delta H/\delta D\\\delta H/\delta J\\\delta H/\delta N \end{bmatrix}$$

Fluid variables are: momentum $m = D\rho u$, with Eulerian velocity u, scalar mass density ρ and volume form D.

Wave variables are canonically conjugate, (ϕ, N) by $\mathbf{J} := N \nabla \phi$.

The Lie-Poisson bracket for wave-current dynamics is dual to Lie algebra,

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \left(V_1 \oplus \left(\mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes V_2 \right) \right).$$

Hence, the Lie-Poisson bracket $\{F, H\}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity. Imperial College

12 / 26

,



(1) Fine-scale 'Truth' (1024²) e.g., from fluid PDE simulations $\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla \rho$, divu = 0

(2) Coarse-scale avg PDE (64²)
 e.g. space/time average velocity, u
 This approx introduces uncertainty.

(3) Coarser-scale simulation (4²) e.g. Large Eddy Simulation (LES) LES introduces more uncertainty.

Imperial College

Now consider composition of multiple maps $g_1 \dots g_N$

If the Lagrangian had relabelling symmetry for each successive map, then the action integral in Hamilton's principle would take the form, $^{\rm 1}$

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(g_1, \dot{g}_1, a_1^0; g_2, \dot{g}_2, a_2^0; g_3, \dot{g}_3, a_3^0; \dots; g_N, \dot{g}_N, a_N^0) dt$$

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(\dot{g}_1 g_1^{-1}, a_1^0 g_1^{-1}; (\dot{g}_2 g_2^{-1}) g_1^{-1}, (a_2^0 g_2^{-1}) g_1^{-1}; (\dot{g}_3 g_3^{-1}) g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}, (a_3^0 g_3^{-1}) g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}; \dots dt$$

$$S_{red} =: \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ell(u_1, a_1; u_2 g_1^{-1}, a_2 g_1^{-1}; u_3 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}, a_3 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}; \dots) dt$$

$$=: \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ell(u_1, a_1; g_{1*} u_2, g_{1*} a_2; (g_1 g_2)_* u_3, (g_1 g_2)_* a_3; \dots) dt$$

We restrict to the case that $(u_k := \dot{g}_k g_k^{-1}, a_k := a_1^0 g_k^{-1})$, for k = 1, 2, 3. Colour coded as k = 1, k = 2, k = 3.

¹Colours denote spatial domains. E.g., $u_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ and $dV_{\bigcirc} = dx_1^3 dx_2^3 dx_3^3$. Darryl Holm Imperial College Incolstade Letture Multiscale Fluid Interactions 14/26

Euler-Poincaré variational relations for CoM dynamics

Variational relations for nested degrees of freedom exist, because of a Lie chain rule (LCR). E.g., in varying *advected quantities*,

$$\delta a_k(t) \coloneqq a'_k(t) \coloneqq \partial_\epsilon a_k(t,\epsilon) \big|_{\epsilon=0} \coloneqq (g_{k*}(t)a^0_k)'$$

By LCR $= -\mathcal{L}_{g'_k g^{-1}_k(t)} a_k(t) = -\mathcal{L}_{w_k(t)} a_k(t), \qquad w_k \coloneqq g'_k g^{-1}_k(t)$

Euler-Poincaré variational relations for velocities $u_k := \dot{g}_k g_k^{-1} =: g_{k*} \dot{g}_k$ are obtained from equality of cross derivatives $\dot{g}'_k = g'_k$ and LCR. Namely,

$$\begin{split} u_1' - (\partial_t - \mathrm{ad}_{u_1})w_1 &= 0, \\ g_{1*}(u_2' - (\partial_t - \mathrm{ad}_{u_1})w_2 + \mathcal{L}_{w_1}u_2) &= 0, \\ (g_1g_2)_*(u_3' - (\partial_t - \mathrm{ad}_{u_1+u_2})w_3 + \mathcal{L}_{w_1+w_2}u_3) &= 0. \end{split}$$

- The further sequence of EP variational relations follows a clear pattern.
- Larger scales sweep smaller ones by CoM push-forward $(g_1g_2)_*$. Imperial College

Hamilton's variational principle $\delta S_{red} = 0$ for multiple CoM

Hamilton's principle for CoM velocity variations u'_1 , u'_2 , and u'_3 yields

$$0 = \delta S_{red} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u_1}, (\partial_t - \mathrm{ad}_{u_1}) w_1 \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u_2}, (\partial_t - \mathrm{ad}_{u_1}) w_2 + \mathcal{L}_{w_1} u_2 \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u_3}, (\partial_t - \mathrm{ad}_{u_1 + u_2}) w_3 + \mathcal{L}_{w_1 + w_2} u_3 \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a_1}, -\mathcal{L}_{w_1} a_1 \right\rangle_{L^2} + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a_2}, -\mathcal{L}_{w_1 + w_2} a_2 \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a_3}, -\mathcal{L}_{w_1 + w_2 + w_3} a_3 \right\rangle_{L^2} dt$$

Three EP equations follow by *collecting coefficients* of w_1 , w_2 , and w_3 then setting each coefficient equal to zero.

Three (colour-coded) Euler-Poincaré motion equations

EP equations for k = 1, 2, 3 emerge after *collecting coefficients* of w_1 , w_2 , w_3 with **diamond operation** (\diamond) defined by $\langle b \diamond a, w \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} := \langle b, -\mathcal{L}_w a \rangle_V$. Averaging over successive scales [Holm-Tronci 2012] leads to

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \delta S_{red} = \\ &- \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\langle \left(\partial_t + \mathrm{ad}_{u_1}^*\right) \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_1} - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_1} \diamond a_1, w_1 \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &- \left\langle \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_2} \diamond u_2 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_2} \diamond a_2 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_3} \diamond u_3 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_3} \diamond a_3, w_1 \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &+ \left\langle \left(\partial_t + \mathrm{ad}_{u_1+u_2}^*\right) \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_2} - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_2} \diamond a_2 - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_3} \diamond u_3 - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_3} \diamond a_3, w_2 \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &+ \left\langle \left(\partial_t + \mathrm{ad}_{u_1+u_2+u_3}^*\right) \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_3} - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_3} \diamond a_3, w_3 \right\rangle_{L^2} \end{split}$$

Auxiliary relations hold for the multiscale advected quantities:

Darryl H

$$a_{1} := g_{1*}a_{1}^{0}, \qquad a_{2} := (g_{1}g_{2})_{*}a_{2}^{0}, \qquad a_{3} := (g_{1}g_{2}g_{3})_{*}a_{3}^{0}$$

$$\partial_{t}a_{1} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}}a_{1}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{2} = -\mathcal{L}_{(u_{1}+u_{2})}a_{2}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{3} = -\mathcal{L}_{(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3})}a_{3}.$$

$$\lim_{London} a_{1} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}}a_{1}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{2} = -\mathcal{L}_{(u_{1}+u_{2})}a_{2}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{3} = -\mathcal{L}_{(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3})}a_{3}.$$

$$\lim_{London} a_{1} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}}a_{1}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{2} = -\mathcal{L}_{(u_{1}+u_{2})}a_{2}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{3} = -\mathcal{L}_{(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3})}a_{3}.$$

$$\lim_{London} a_{1} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}}a_{1}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{2} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}+u_{2}}a_{2}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{3} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}}a_{3}.$$

$$\lim_{London} a_{1} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}}a_{1}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{2} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}+u_{2}}a_{2}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{3} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}}a_{3}.$$

$$\lim_{London} a_{1} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}}a_{1}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{2} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}+u_{2}}a_{2}, \qquad \partial_{t}a_{3} = -\mathcal{L}_{u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}}a_{3}.$$

Legendre transform \implies nested Hamiltonian formulation

The Euler-Poincaré equations may be displayed in Lie-Poisson matrix form with reduced Hamiltonian $h(m_k, a_k) : \prod_k (\mathfrak{X}^*_k \times V^*_k) \to \mathbb{R}$ with $m_k := \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u_k}$.



The matrix operator defines a Lie-Poisson bracket $\{f, h\} = \langle \mu, [df, dh] \rangle$ on the dual of the following *nested* semidirect product Lie algebra

 $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \, \textcircled{S} \, \Big(\, V_1 \oplus \big(\mathfrak{g}_2 \, \textcircled{S} \, (\, V_2 \oplus \big(\mathfrak{g}_3 \, \textcircled{S} \, \, V_3 \big) \, \Big) \, \Big).$

The pattern for further extension to additional DoF is clear. It shows a sense of Lie algebraic self similarity.

Darryl Holm Imperial College

Multiscale Fluid Interactions

- Back reaction (scatter) via feedback in Kelvin circulation theorems
- Littlewood-Paley Fourier-shell decompositions of interacting fluid scales. Cf. Holm-Tronci [2012]
- Geometric understanding of multiscale fluid interaction dynamics: Each successively smaller scale regards the previous larger scale as a Lagrange coordinate.
- The theoretical results for back-reaction of small scales on large scales may guide stochastic models of effects of small scales on large scales.
- A geometric stochastic approach for multiscale fluid modelling may be introduced via a multiscale Kelvin's circulation theorem.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Imperial College

Back reaction (scattering) in Kelvin circulation theorems

Deterministic back reaction (scattering) would require modelling the averages of 6 sub-grid scale fluctuating quantities in 2 Kelvin theorems.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{c(u_1)} D_1^{-1} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_1} = \oint_{c(u_1)} D_1^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_1} \diamond a_1 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_2} \diamond u_2 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_2} \diamond a_2 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_3} \diamond a_2 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_3} \diamond u_3 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_3} \diamond a_3 \right),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{c(u_1+u_2)} D_2^{-1} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_2} = \oint_{c(u_1+u_2)} D_2^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_2} \diamond a_2 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_3} \diamond u_3 + \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_3} \diamond a_3 \right),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{c(u_1+u_2+u_3)} D_3^{-1} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_3} = \oint_{c(u_1+u_2+u_3)} D_3^{-1} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_3} \diamond a_3.$$

 $(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u_1})D_1 = 0$, $(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u_1+u_2})D_2 = 0$, $(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u_1+u_2+u_3})D_3 = 0$. Littlewood-Paley Fourier-shell averages preserve the Kelvin theorem.

Darryl Holm Imperial College

Littlewood-Paley Fourier avg preserves Kelvin's theorem



Figure: k = 1, k = 2, and k = 3 scales in Fourier space.

Holm-Tronci [2012] provide details of LP fluid averaging.

Darryl Holm Imperial College



(1) Fine-scale 'Truth' (1024²) e.g., from fluid PDE simulations $\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p$, divu = 0

(2) Coarse-scale SALT SPDE (64²) for stochastic 2D Euler velocity, u $du + dx_t \cdot \nabla u + u_j \nabla dx_t^{\ j} = -\nabla p \ dt$ $dx_t = u_t(x_t) \ dt + \sum \xi_i(x_t) \circ \ dW_t^i$ Stochastic advection velocity, dx_t This step quantifies uncertainty.

(3) Particle filtering of data (4²) $dx_t = u_t(x_t)dt + \sum \xi_i(x_t) \circ dW_t^i$ $dy_t = a(x_t)dt + b(x_t) \circ dB_t$ This step reduces uncertainty

ondon



Alternative: Stochastic geometric mechanics approach

One could model effects of smaller scales on larger scales by introducing SALT noise in Kelvin's circulation laws, specifically by taking the small-scale effects of k = 2 and k = 3 as stochastic processes,

$$dx_k := u_k dt + \xi_k(x) \circ dW_t \quad \text{for} \quad k = 2, \, k = 3 \, .$$

Stochastic Advection by Lie Transport (SALT) in Kelvin's theorem is $^{\rm 2}$

$$\mathrm{d} \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_1)} D_1^{-1} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_1} = \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_1)} D_1^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_1} \diamond a_1 + \zeta_2(x) \circ dW_t + \zeta_3(x) \circ dW_t \right),$$
$$\mathrm{d} \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_1 + \mathrm{d}x_2)} D_2^{-1} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u_2} = \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_1 + \mathrm{d}x_2)} D_2^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a_2} \diamond a_2 + \zeta_3(x) \circ dW_t \right).$$

and the volume elements D_1 , D_2 , D_3 are stochastically advected, as

$$(d + \mathcal{L}_{dx_1})D_1 = 0$$
, $(d + \mathcal{L}_{dx_1+dx_2})D_2 = 0$.

What have we been discussing in this talk today?

- Began by formulating GLM as the composition by push-forward of two smooth invertible maps in Hamilton's principle for Eulerian fluids.
- Extended CoM so that internal dynamics of several successive fluid components are transported by the combined actions of those that came before and they combine to transport all those that come after.
- The advantage of the considerations here is their generality. CoM yields coordinate-free representations of multicomponent, multiphysics non-dissipative fluid dynamics common in oceanic flows.
- The preservation of Kelvin's theorem in the CoM geometric averaging and closure approach also provides a basis for stochastic modelling. Namely, it identifies what physical terms are modelled by stochasticity.

Darryl Holm Imperial College

What's next? Let's discuss!



Thanks for listening!

More papers along these lines with up-to-date references are at ORCID:

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6362-9912

・ロト ・ 一 ・ ・ モ ト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

 $\{---\#---\}$