"Introduction to Geostrophic Turbulence"

TRR 181 Winter School Goslar, February 27, 2018 Marcel Oliver

From 2D turbulence to MOLES

- 1. The closure problem
- 2. 2D single layer turbulence
- 3. Two-layer stratified turbulence
- 4. LES closures



1. The closure problem

Rotating Boussinesq equations

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{u} + \rho^{-1} \nabla p = \boldsymbol{F} + D \boldsymbol{u}$$

 $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$

Apply linear filter operation

$$\partial_t \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\nabla}} \cdot (\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}) + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} + \rho^{-1} \overline{\nabla} \overline{p} = \boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{u}) + \overline{\boldsymbol{F}} + \overline{D} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$$
$$\overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} = 0$$

with *eddy source term*

$$\boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \overline{\boldsymbol{\nabla}} \cdot (\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}) - \overline{\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u})} + \overline{D\boldsymbol{u}} - \overline{D}\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$$



2. Barotropic vorticity equation

$$\partial_t \zeta + \nabla^\perp \psi \cdot \nabla \zeta = F + D_i \zeta + D_u \zeta$$
$$\zeta = \Delta \psi$$

Inviscid undamped equation conserves energy and enstropy

$$E = \int |\nabla \psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$
 and $Z = \int |\zeta|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$

Fourier representation

$$\partial_t \zeta_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{q}} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}}{p^2} \zeta_{\boldsymbol{p}} \zeta_{\boldsymbol{q}} = D_{\mathrm{i}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \zeta_{\boldsymbol{k}} + D_{\mathrm{u}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \zeta_{\boldsymbol{k}} + F_{\boldsymbol{k}}$$

Rate of energy transfer for mode *k*:

$$\partial_t E_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \sum_{\{\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}\}: \, \boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{q} = 0} T(\boldsymbol{k} | \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{q}) + 2 D_{i}(\boldsymbol{k}) E_{\boldsymbol{k}} + 2 D_{u}(\boldsymbol{k}) E_{\boldsymbol{k}} + P_{\boldsymbol{k}}$$

where

$$T(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{q}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \, \boldsymbol{p}^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \left(q^2 - p^2\right) \, \operatorname{Re}[\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}} \, \psi_{\boldsymbol{p}} \, \psi_{\boldsymbol{q}}]$$



3. Resonant triad interactions (Fjørtoft, 1953)

Resonant triad: $\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{q} = 0$

$$T(\boldsymbol{p}|\boldsymbol{kq}) = -\frac{q^2 - k^2}{q^2 - p^2} T(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{pq})$$

and

$$T(\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{kp}) = -\frac{k^2 - p^2}{q^2 - p^2} T(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{pq})$$

Energy transfer constraints

Suppose

$$p < k < q$$

If center mode k loses energy, modes p and q gain energy, and vice versa

TRR 181

4. Folklore

Energy goes upscale

Enstrophy goes downscale

Questions

- Can we prove it?
- Under which conditions?
- And in the real ocean/atmosphere?



4.1. "Proof" 1: Transient dynamics of spectral peak

Mean of spectral distribution ("Energy wavenumber")

$$k_{\rm e} = \frac{1}{E} \sum_{k} k \, E(k)$$

Variance of spectral distribution

$$I = \sum_{k} (k - k_{\rm e})^2 E(k) = Z - k_{\rm e}^2 E$$

Conservation of energy and enstrophy implies:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}t} = -E \,\frac{\mathrm{d}k_{\mathrm{e}}^2}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

As energy variance increases, the energy mean goes upscale

4.2. "Proof" 2: Infinite ranges

- Forcing scale $k_{\rm f}$
- Infrared dissipation scale *k*_i
- Ultraviolet dissipation scale $k_{\rm u}$

$$k_{\rm i} \ll k_{\rm f} \ll k_{\rm u}$$

Forcing/dissipation balance

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &= \varepsilon_{\rm i} + \varepsilon_{\rm u} \\ \eta &= k_{\rm f}^2 \, \varepsilon = \eta_{\rm i} + \eta_{\rm u} \end{split}$$

Since $\eta_i \leq k_i^2 \varepsilon_i$, we estimate

$$\eta \geq \eta_{\rm u} = k_{\rm f}^2 \, \varepsilon - \eta_{\rm i} \geq k_{\rm f}^2 \, \varepsilon - k_{\rm i}^2 \, \varepsilon_{\rm i} \geq \left(k_{\rm f}^2 - k_{\rm i}^2\right) \varepsilon = \left(1 - k_{\rm i}^2/k_{\rm f}^2\right) \eta$$

Thus, $\eta_{\rm u} \rightarrow \eta$ in the limit $k_{\rm i}/k_{\rm f} \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, $\varepsilon_{\rm i} \rightarrow \varepsilon$ when $k_{\rm f}/k_{\rm u} \rightarrow 0$.



5. Cascade picture for two-dimensional turbulence



Wavenumber

TRR18

Graphics from Vallis (2005)

6. Kolmogorov: Energy cascade

$$E_{[\kappa,2\kappa]} = \int_{\kappa}^{2\kappa} S(k) \,\mathrm{d}k \equiv g(\varepsilon,\kappa)$$

Rescaling time t = Tt' and space x = Lx', we demand

$$E'_{\kappa',2\kappa'} = g(\varepsilon',\kappa') \equiv g(1,1)$$

so that $\varepsilon = L^2 \, T^{-3} \, \varepsilon'$, $\kappa = L^{-1} \, \kappa'$, and therefore

$$E_{[\kappa,2\kappa]} = \frac{L^2}{T^2} E'_{\kappa',2\kappa'} = g(1,1) \frac{\varepsilon^{2/3}}{\kappa^{2/3}}$$

Differentiating, we find

$$S(\kappa) - S(2\kappa) = \frac{2 g(1,1)}{3} \frac{\varepsilon^{2/3}}{\kappa^{5/3}}$$

so that

$$S(\kappa) = S(2^{m+1}\kappa) + \frac{2\,g(1,1)}{3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{5/3}} + \frac{1}{2^{10/3}} + \dots\right)\frac{\varepsilon^{2/3}}{\kappa^{5/3}} \quad \Longrightarrow \qquad S(k) \sim c\,\frac{\varepsilon^{2/3}}{k^{5/3}}$$

7. Kraichnan–Bachelor–Leith: Enstrophy cascade

$$E_{[\kappa,2\kappa]} = \int_{\kappa}^{2\kappa} S(k) \,\mathrm{d}k \equiv g(\eta,\kappa)$$

Rescaling time t = Tt' and space x = Lx', we demand

$$E'_{\kappa',2\kappa'} = g(\eta',\kappa') \equiv g(1,1)$$

so that $\eta = T^{-3} \eta'$, $\kappa = L^{-1} \kappa'$, and therefore

$$E_{[\kappa,2\kappa]} = \frac{L^2}{T^2} E'_{\kappa',2\kappa'} = g(1,1) \frac{\eta^{2/3}}{\kappa^2} \implies S(k) \sim c \frac{\eta^{2/3}}{k^3}$$

Dissipation length scale

$$\eta = \int_0^{k_\nu} (\nu k^2) (k^2) S(k) \, \mathrm{d}k = c \, \nu \, \eta^{2/3} \int_0^{k_\nu} k \, \mathrm{d}k = \tilde{c} \, \nu \, \eta^{2/3} \, k_\nu^2$$

k

so that

$$E_{\nu} = C \frac{\eta^{1/6}}{\nu^{1/2}}$$

8. Limitations of the cascade picture

In reality

- Forcing is not spectrally localized (baroclinic instability!)
- Dissipation is not spectrally localized
- Forcing is too close to the grid scale
- Non-local triads can be significant



9. Baroclinic instability







Graphics from Sheane Keating,

https://www.climatescience.org.au/sites/default/files/Baroclinic%20Instability%20Keating%20.pdf

10. Two-layer QG as a model for ocean turbulence

Basic state: Uniform vertical shear

$$\psi_1^{\text{equil}} = -Uy \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2^{\text{equil}} = 0$$

Eddy stream functions

$$\psi_1 = -yU + \psi_1^{\text{eddy}}$$
 and $\psi_2 = \psi_2^{\text{eddy}}$

Eddy barotropic and baroclinic stream functions

$$\psi = rac{\psi_1^{ ext{eddy}} + \psi_2^{ ext{eddy}}}{2}$$
 and $\tau = rac{\psi_1^{ ext{eddy}} - \psi_2^{ ext{eddy}}}{2}$

and associated PVs

$$q = \Delta \psi$$
 and $\omega = \Delta \tau - k_{\rm d}^2 \tau$



10.0.1. Two-layer QG, ctd.

$$\partial_t q + [\psi, q] + [\tau, \omega] + \frac{U}{2} \partial_x (q + \Delta \tau) = \frac{1}{2} D_{\mathbf{i}} (\psi - \tau) + D_{\mathbf{u}} \psi$$
$$\partial_t \omega + [\psi, \omega] + [\tau, q] + \frac{U}{2} \partial_x (\omega + q + k_{\mathbf{d}}^2 \psi) = -\frac{1}{2} D_{\mathbf{i}} (\psi - \tau) + D_{\mathbf{u}} \tau + \kappa \tau$$

Energies (by mode)

$$E^{\psi} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} k^2 |\psi_k|^2$$
 and $E^{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (k^2 + k_d^2) |\tau_k|^2$

Energies (kinetic and potential)

$$E^{K} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} k^{2} \left(|\psi_{k}|^{2} + |\tau_{k}|^{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad E^{P} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} k_{d}^{2} |\tau_{k}|^{2}$$



10.0.2. Two-layer QG, numerical energy transfer rates



Graphics adapted from Jansen/Held (2014)

10.1. Energy transfers in two-layer geostrophic turbulence



Graphics from Vallis (2005)



10.2. How does this apply to the ocean?

- Upper ocean: $N \approx 0.0025 \text{s}^{-1}$, $H \approx 4 \text{ km}$, $f_0 \approx 10^{-4} \text{s}^{-1}$
- Baroclinic Rossby radius: $L_{\rm d} \approx 100 \, \rm km$
- Most unstable scale: approx. $4 L_{d} \approx 400 \text{ km}$
- Locally much smaller values possible
- At wave numbers larger than *k*_d, ageostrophic effects come in
- At wave numbers around $k_{\rm d}$, eddy viscocity comes in
- There are also surface modes, possibly higher baroclinic modes



11. Viscous parameterization (Leith)

Idea 1: Determine "eddy viscocity" ν_* such that a given dissipation wavenumber, *within the inertial range*, maintains the rate of enstropy dissipation:

$$k_* = C \, \frac{\eta^{1/6}}{\nu_*^{1/2}}$$

On the other hand, from barotropic vorticity equation,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\zeta^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot(\boldsymbol{u}\,\zeta^{2}) = \nu_{*}\,\zeta\,\Delta\zeta \qquad + \mathrm{IR}\,\mathrm{friction} + \mathrm{forcing}$$
$$= -\nu_{*}\,|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\zeta|^{2} + \nu_{*}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot(\zeta\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\zeta) \qquad + \mathrm{IR}\,\mathrm{friction} + \mathrm{forcing}$$

Thus, area-mean-rate of enstropy dissipation $\eta = \nu_* \langle |\nabla \zeta|^2 \rangle$ **Idea 2:** Swap local and global values

$$\nu_* = \left(\frac{C}{k_*}\right)^3 \langle |\nabla \zeta|^2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{C}{k_*}\right)^3 |\nabla \zeta|$$

 \rightarrow MOLES (Fox–Kemper and Menemenlis, 2008)



12. Outlook

- Discrete, small-stencil operators (WIP)
- Backscatter (Stephan + Anton's talks later)
- Can we get off the cascade picture? (Non-local triads!)
- Stochastic closures (M2 and others)
- Wave-turbulence interaction (IDEMIX!)

