Partial Differential Equations

Final Exam

Solutions

1. Consider the homogeneous Helmholtz equation on \mathbb{R}^3 ,

$$(1-\Delta)u=0$$
.

(a) Show that if u is a radial solution, i.e. u(x) = v(r) with r = |x|, then

$$v-\frac{2}{r}v'-v''=0.$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} Du(x) &= \nu'(r)Dr = \nu'(r)\frac{x}{r},\\ \Delta u(x) &= D \cdot Du(x) = D \cdot \left[\nu'(r)\frac{x}{r}\right] = \nu''(r) + \nu'(r)\frac{3}{r} - \nu'(r)\frac{x \cdot x}{r^3} = \nu''(r) + \nu'(r)\frac{2}{r},\\ 0 &= (1 - \Delta)u(x) = \nu(r) - \nu''(r) - \nu'(r)\frac{2}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Show that

$$\nu_\pm(r) = \frac{e^{\pm r}}{r}$$

are two (independent) radial solutions. Which one would you consider to use as a fundamental solution for the Helmholtz equation?

Solution.

$$\begin{split} \nu_\pm'(r) &= -\frac{e^{\pm r}}{r^2} \pm \frac{e^{\pm r}}{r}, \qquad \nu''(r) = 2\frac{e^{\pm r}}{r^3} \mp \frac{e^{\pm r}}{r^2} \mp \frac{e^{\pm r}}{r^2} + \frac{e^{\pm r}}{r}, \\ \frac{r}{e^{\pm r}} \left[\nu - \frac{2}{r} \nu' - \nu'' \right] &= 1 - \frac{2}{r} \left[-\frac{1}{r} \pm 1 \right] - \frac{2}{r^2} \pm \frac{2}{r} - 1 \\ &= 1 + \frac{2}{r^2} \mp \frac{2}{r} - \frac{2}{r^2} \pm \frac{2}{r} - 1 = 0. \end{split}$$

 $\nu_{-}(r)$ vanishes at infinity and hence can be considered as a fundamental solution for the Helmholtz equation.

(10+10)

- 2. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and assume that $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic.
 - (a) Show that, for any i = 1, ..., n,

$$|\partial_i u(x)| \leq \frac{n}{r} \, \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B(x,r))}$$

so long as $B(x, r) \subset U$.

Hint: Mean value formula.

Solution. The mean value formula reads

Then, since $\partial u_i(x)$ is also harmonic,

$$\partial_i u(x) = \int_{B(x,r)} \partial_i u(y) dy = \frac{1}{\alpha(n) r^n} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} \partial_i u(y) dy = \frac{1}{\alpha(n) r^n} \int\limits_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) dS(y).$$

Here we applied the Gauss formula. Then

$$|\partial_i u(x)| \leq \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B(x,r))}}{\alpha(n)r^n} \int\limits_{\partial B(x,r)} dS(y) = \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B(x,r))} \frac{n\alpha(n)r^{n-1}}{\alpha(n)r^n} = \frac{n}{r} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B(x,r))}.$$

(b) Then conclude that

$$|\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{i}}\mathfrak{u}(x)| \leq \frac{n}{\alpha(n)\,r^{n+1}}\,\|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{1}(B(x,2r))}$$

provided $B(x, 2r) \subset U$.

Hint: Mean value formula.

Solution. First we note that if $y \in B(x,r)$, then $\forall z \in B(y,r) \Rightarrow z \in B(x,2r)$ due to the triangle inequality. Then

$$|\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{y})| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha(\mathfrak{n})r^{\mathfrak{n}}} \int_{B(\mathfrak{y},r)} |\mathfrak{u}(z)| \, \mathrm{d}z \leq \frac{1}{\alpha(\mathfrak{n})r^{\mathfrak{n}}} \|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{1}(B(\mathfrak{x},2r))}, \quad \forall \mathfrak{y} \in B(\mathfrak{x},r).$$

In particular, it holds for all $y \in \partial B(x,r)$ Therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial B(x,r))} = \max_{y \in \partial B(x,r)} |u(y)| \le \frac{1}{\alpha(n)r^{n}} \|u\|_{L^{1}(B(x,2r))}.$$

Result of 2a gives

$$|\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{i}}\mathfrak{u}(x)| \leq \frac{n}{r} \|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{d}B(x,r))} \leq \frac{n}{\alpha(n) \, r^{n+1}} \, \|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{1}(B(x,2r))}.$$

3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded. Consider the Poisson equation with so-called Neumann boundary conditions,

$$-\Delta u = f$$
 in U , $v \cdot Du = 0$ on ∂U .

Show that this equation cannot have a solution unless

$$\int_{U} f dx = 0.$$

Solution.

$$\int\limits_{U}f~dx=-\int\limits_{U}\Delta u~dx=-\int\limits_{\partial U}\nu\cdot Du~dS=0. \eqno(10)$$

4. A function $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ is called a *weak solution* of the wave equation on the line if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t) \left(v_{tt}(x,t) - v_{xx}(x,t) \right) dx dt = 0$$

for every $\nu \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

(a) Show that if $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ is a classical solution of the wave equation, it is also a weak solution.

Solution.

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t) \left(\nu_{tt}(x,t) - \nu_{xx}(x,t) \right) dx dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{t}(x,t) - u_{x}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{t}(x,t) - u_{x}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{t}(x,t) - u_{x}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{t}(x,t) - u_{x}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{t}(x,t) - u_{x}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{t}(x,t) - u_{x}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{t}(x,t) - u_{x}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt dt = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt dt = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{t}(x,t) \nu_{x}(x,t) dx dt dt dt dt dt dt$$

$$= \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u_{tt}(x,t) \nu(x,t) - u_{xx}(x,t) \nu(x,t) \, dx \, dt = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}} (u_{tt}(x,t) - u_{xx}(x,t)) \nu(x,t) \, dx \, dt = 0.$$

There are no boundary terms, since $\nu \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

(b) Verify, by explicit computation, that

$$u(x,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x > t \\ 0 & \text{for } x \le t \end{cases}$$

is a weak solution of the wave equation.

Solution.

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t) \left(\nu_{tt}(x,t) - \nu_{xx}(x,t) \right) dx \, dt &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{x} \nu_{tt}(x,t) \, dt \, dx - \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \int\limits_{t}^{+\infty} \nu_{xx}(x,t) \, dx \, dt = \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \nu_{t}(x,x) \, dx + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \nu_{x}(t,t) \, dt = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\nu_{t}(y,y) + \nu_{x}(y,y) \right) dy = 0. \end{split}$$
 (5+10)

5. Consider the Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$u_t - 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0$$

on $\mathbb{T} \times (0, \infty)$. Show that

$$M = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u \, dx,$$
$$E = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u^2 \, dx,$$

and

$$H = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_x^2 + u^3 \right) dx$$

are all constants of the motion.

Solution.

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} M &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_t \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(6uu_x - u_{xxx} \right) \, dx \ = \left(3u^2 + u_{xx} \right) \Big|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} uu_t \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(6u^2u_x - uu_{xxx} \right) \, dx = 2u^3 \Big|_{\mathbb{T}} + \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_x u_{xx} \, dx = u_x^2 \Big|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} H &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(u_x u_{xt} + 3u^2u_t \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_t \left(u_{xx} + 3u^2 \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(6uu_x - u_{xxx} \right) \left(u_{xx} + 3u^2 \right) dx = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(6uu_x u_{xx} - u_{xxx} u_{xx} + 18u_x u^3 - 3u^2u_{xxx} \right) dx = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(6uu_x u_{xx} - [u_{xx}^2]_x + \frac{9}{2} [u^4]_x - 6uu_x u_{xx} \right) dx = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{9}{2}u^4 - u_{xx}^2 \end{bmatrix} \Big|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0. \end{split}$$

There is no boundary terms, since T has no boundary.

(5+5+10)

- 6. Let H be a Hilbert space and u_n a sequence in H. Show that the following are equivalent.
 - (i) $u_n \to u$ strongly;
 - (ii) $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly and $\|u_n\| \to \|u\|.$

Solution. " \Rightarrow " By definition,

$$u_n \to u \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \|u_n - u\|_H \to 0.$$

Using the triangle inequality we conclude

$$\begin{split} \|u_n\|_H - \|u\|_H &= \|u_n - u + u\|_H - \|u\|_H \leq \|u_n - u\|_H + \|u\|_H - \|u\|_H = \|u_n - u\|_H, \\ \|u_n\|_H - \|u\|_H &= \|u_n\|_H - \|u - u_n + u_n\|_H \geq \|u_n\|_H - \|u - u_n\|_H - \|u_n\|_H = -\|u - u_n\|_H. \end{split}$$
 Hence,

$$\Big|\|u_n\|_H-\|u\|_H\Big|\leq \|u_n-u\|_H\to 0.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\left|\langle \mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}, w \rangle\right| \le \|\mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}\|_{H} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H} \to 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in H.$$

Consequently,

$$\langle \mathfrak{u}_n, w \rangle \to \langle \mathfrak{u}, w \rangle \quad \forall w \in H \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathfrak{u}_n \rightharpoonup \mathfrak{u}.$$

"

—" By definition,

$$\langle \mathfrak{u}_n - \mathfrak{u}, w \rangle \to 0 \qquad \forall w \in \mathsf{H}.$$

Take $w := u_n - u$. Then

$$\|u_n-u\|_H^2 = \langle u_n-u,\, u_n-u\rangle = \|u_n\|_H^2 - 2\langle u_n,\, u\rangle + \|u\|_H^2 \to \|u_n\|_H^2 - \|u\|_H^2.$$

Since the right hand side vanishes, we have $u_n \to u$ strongly.

(10+10)