

## HW 7 - Solutions

①

1. 
$$p_\alpha(x) = f(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|h(x)\|^2$$

let  $x^*$  denote the minimizer of  $p_\alpha$ ,

$y^*$  denote the minimizer of  $p_\beta$ ,

$$0 < \alpha < \beta$$

(a) 
$$p_\alpha(x^*) \leq p_\alpha(y^*) \leq p_\beta(y^*) \leq p_\beta(x^*)$$
 since  $p_\alpha(x)$  is minimized by  $x=x^*$  by definition of  $p_\alpha$

(b) 
$$p_\alpha(x^*) \leq p_\alpha(y^*) \leq p_\beta(y^*) \leq p_\beta(x^*)$$
 since  $p_\alpha(x)$  is minimized by  $x=x^*$  since  $p_\beta(x)$  is minimized by  $x=y^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow p_\alpha(x^*) + p_\beta(y^*) &\leq p_\alpha(y^*) + p_\beta(x^*) \\ \Rightarrow f(x^*) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|h(x^*)\|^2 + f(y^*) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|h(y^*)\|^2 &\leq f(y^*) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|h(y^*)\|^2 + f(x^*) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|h(x^*)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha - \beta) \|h(x^*)\|^2 + (\beta - \alpha) \|h(y^*)\|^2 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{(\beta - \alpha)}_{> 0} (\|h(y^*)\|^2 - \|h(x^*)\|^2) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|h(x^*)\| \geq \|h(y^*)\|$$

②

(c) 
$$p_\alpha(x^*) \leq p_\alpha(y^*) \Rightarrow f(x^*) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|h(x^*)\|^2 \leq f(y^*) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|h(y^*)\|^2 \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \|h(x^*)\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x^*) \leq f(y^*)$$

2. 
$$\begin{aligned} \nabla p_\alpha &= \nabla f + \alpha h^T \nabla h \\ \Rightarrow \text{zeros } p_\alpha &= \text{zeros } f + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^q h_i \text{ zeros } h_i + \alpha (\nabla h)^T \nabla h \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x^*$  solve the constrained problem, so that, in particular,

$$h(x^*) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{zeros } p_\alpha(x^*) = \text{zeros } f(x^*) + \alpha (\nabla h(x^*))^T \nabla h(x^*)$$

Since  $q < n$ ,  $(\nabla h)^T \nabla h$  is singular, so that we can find two unit vectors

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in \text{ker}((\nabla h(x^*))^T \nabla h(x^*)) \\ v &\perp \text{ker}((\nabla h(x^*))^T \nabla h(x^*)) \end{aligned}$$

We find

$$u^T \text{zeros } p_\alpha(x^*) u = u^T \text{zeros } f(x^*) u = \text{const}$$

$$v^T \text{zeros } p_\alpha(x^*) v = v^T \text{zeros } f(x^*) v + \alpha \underbrace{v^T (\nabla h(x^*))^T \nabla h(x^*) v}_{> 0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty, \lambda_{\max}(\alpha) / \lambda_{\min}(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty. \quad \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty.$$

3.

$$\nabla F = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Hess}(f + h^T \lambda) & \nabla h^T \\ \nabla h & -\mu I \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

We want to show that this matrix is invertible at  $x = x^*$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ ,  $\mu = \mu^* = 0$ . Thus, we have to show that

$$\nabla F(x^*, \lambda^*; \mu^*) v = 0$$

has only the trivial solution  $v = 0$ .

Write  $v = (u, w)^T$ , so that

$$\text{Hess}(f + h^T \lambda^*)(x^*) u + \nabla h(x^*)^T w = 0 \quad (A)$$

$$\nabla h(x^*) u = 0 \quad (B)$$

Multiply (A) with  $u^T$  from the left. Due to (B),

$$u^T \nabla h(x^*)^T v = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$u^T \text{Hess}(f + h^T \lambda^*)(x^*) u = 0.$$

Since the sufficient condition is assumed to be satisfied, this can only be true if  $u = 0$ .

Moreover, since  $x^*$  is a regular point,

$$\nabla h(x^*)^T w = 0$$

implies that  $w = 0$ . Thus,  $\nabla F$  is a regular matrix.